SINGLE BENCH

(1) (2)

C.S. 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
W.P.NO. _____ / 2006

Petitioner : Shri S.C.Deshlahare
S/o Shri D.R. Deshlahare,
Aged About 47 years,
R/o Sakhrod,
Tahsil – Gunderdehi,
Dist : Durg-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3358/06
Presented by Shri Anand Kar Dixit
dated 5/12/06

Versus

Respondents :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through – The Secretary,
Department of Forest,
D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralaya
Raipur-(C.G.)

2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Jail Road, Raipur,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

3. Divisional Forest Officer
Udanti Forest Range (Van Mandal),
Gariyaband, Dist : Raipur – (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.No:3314/06.. **सन् 200** 27

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

7.7.2006

Heard Shri Anand Kumar Tiwari, Adv. for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.Govt. Adv. for the respondents on advance copy.

By the present petition the petitioner has questioned the legality, propriety and correctness of the order dated 1.7.2006 passed by respondent No.1 whereby the petitioner, who is working as Forest Range Officer, Mainpur, Udanti Forest Range, Gariyabandh, District Raipur, has been transferred to Madna, Forest Range Marwahi, District Bilaspur. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred within a period of 1 year 9 months contrary to the policy dated 26.5.2006 issued by the State Government regarding transfer.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievance and in turn respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of petitioner within another one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 2540/2006 also stands disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/-

10.7.06